2D- and 3D-QSAR Study of Acyl Homoserine Lactone Derivatives as Potent Inhibitors of Quorum Sensor, SdiA in *Salmonella typhimurium*

Gnanendra Shanmugam^{1,3}, Syed Mohamed², Jeyakumar Natarajan^{3*}

¹Bioinformatics Division, Center for Research and Development Mahendra Educational Institutions, Mallasamudram Tiruchengode, Tamil Nadu, India E-mail: gnani_science@gmail.com

²Department of Molecular Modeling Sadakathullah Appa College Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India E-mail: <u>asm2032@gmail.com</u>

³Department of Bioinformatics Bharathiar University Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: n.jeyakumar@yahoo.co.in

*Corresponding author

Received: February 12, 2016

Accepted: November 10, 2016

Published: December 31, 2016

Abstract: A series of Acyl homoserine lactone derivatives against quorum sensing (QS) enhanced transcriptional regulator SdiA of S. typhimurium were used to establish the physicochemical and structural requirements for the inhibition of QS using 2D- and 3D-OSAR methods. The OSAR model was developed by employing 35 compounds as a training set and the predictive ability was assessed by a test set of 12 compounds. The best 2D-QSAR model for the prediction of SdiA, quorum sensor inhibitory activity has been developed using Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) method (giving $r^2 = 0.8012$ and $q^2 = 0.657$), Principal Component Regression (PCR) method (giving $r^2 = 0.8104$ and $q^2 = 0.625$), and Partial Least Squares Regression (PLS) method (giving $r^2 = 0.8023$ and $q^2 = 0.648$). The best model for 3D-QSAR has been obtained using Comparative Molecular Field Analysis (CoMFA) method, giving $r^2 = 0.896$ and $q^2 = 0.772$. The 2D-QSAR results revealed that the most important descriptors for predicting the anti-quorum sensing activity were alignment-independent descriptors and the topology index descriptors. The 3D-QSAR results of CoMFA contour maps impart some important structural features-like electronegative substituent (Br, Cl, F) on lactone ring favors the strong inhibitory activity. These results will be further useful for development of new quorum sensing inhibitors with structural diversity.

Keywords: Salmonella typhimurium, 2D-QSAR, 3D-QSAR, CoMFA, QS inhibitors.

Introduction

Salmonella typhimurium is an enteric bacterium causing gastroenteritis, a life threatening disease in human beings. In recent years problems related to *Salmonella* have increased both in terms of prevalence and severe cases of human salmonellosis and millions of human cases are reported worldwide every year resulting in thousands of mortality [26]. Worldwide, nearly 21.6 million cases of typhoid fever resulting in 200,000 deaths are estimated every year [3]. In Asia, the rate of incidence of typhoid fever is estimated to be 900 per 100,000 people per annum [17]. In contrast, human gastroenteritis is increasing because of food contamination.

The Ernest surveillance program reported *S. typhimurium* on gastrointestinal disorders characterized by high morbidity but low mortality [27]. Globally, the incidence of gastroenteritis is estimated at 1.7 billion cases per year resulting about 3 million deaths. In United States, there are an estimated 1.5 million new cases of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* infections every year [24]. Computational techniques are commonly applied for structure determination and functional elucidation of specific proteins of *S. typhimurium* [20, 31].

In general, gram-negative bacteria including *S. typhimurium* communicate with each other by producing chemical signal molecules that are released into the surrounding environment. These signal molecules upon reaching the quorum, activate certain target genes to respond to the population density which is termed as quorum sensing (QS) [9, 33]. Most of the gram-negative bacteria encodes LuxR/LuxI QS system of *Vibrio fischeri*, where the N-(3-oxo) homoserine lactone (AHLs) are produced by signal synthase, LuxI and reaches LuxR, a signal receptor to modulate the gene expression of various genes and virulence factors [8, 22]. The species of our interest *S. typhimurium* encodes only LuxR homologue, termed as SdiA (Suppressor of cell division inhibition A). Due to the absence of LuxI homologs [25], *S. typhimurium* sense the AHLs produced by *Yersinia enterocolitica* [12] which activates two *Salmonella*-specific loci, srgE (SdiA regulated gene E) and the rck (resistance to complement killing) operon and also the *Salmonella*'s colonization in the intestine [28].

In gram-negative bacteria including *S. typhimurium*, brominated furanones were reported to have inhibitory activity against SdiA, the LuxR homologue [4, 29]. Gnanendra et al. [12] earlier studied and reported the binding interactions of SdiA and the four AHLs of *Y. enterocolitica*. Their studies revealed that the lactone ring and alkyl chains of AHL molecules are crucial in forming the interactions with in the active site of SdiA. The importance of crucial active site residues favoring the interaction with ligands suggested that the compounds substituted with suitable groups on the lactone ring and alkyl chain might be the best inhibitors of *Salmonella* SdiA [23].

Since there were only a few reports concerning the activity of halogenated furanones on *Salmonella* [15], here we present our quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) analysis of the series of brominated furanones and N-Acyl homoserine lactone derivatives by 2D- and 3D-QSAR models, which may guide rational synthesis of potent novel compounds.

The 2D-QSAR model was derived from various regression methods such as Multiple Linear Regression (MLR), Principle Component Regression (PCR), Partial Least Squares (PLS) and the development of 3D-QSAR models was derived from the most widely used computational 3D-CoMFA method [1]. The study was performed by using structurally diverse sets of N-Acyl homoserine lactone QS inhibitors from the literature with reported IC50 values. In total 47 compounds were used for the study and the 2D- and 3D-QSAR models were developed using a training set of 35 compounds, and the predictive ability of the QSAR models was assessed by using a test set of 12 compounds.

Materials and methods

Biological activities and dataset for 2D-QSAR

Reported quorum sensing inhibitors ex-vivo dataset of 47 brominated furanones and N-Aryl homoserine lactone derivatives with the experimental biological activities, in the form of IC50 (μ M) values (Fig. 1) were selected from the literature [11, 19, 30]. The IC values spanning a range of 0.21 μ M to 1000 μ M provide a broad spectrum data set for 2D-QSAR study. The biological activities were converted into pIC50 to correlate the linear data to the free energy

change and to use as a dependant variable for the development of a valid 2D- and 3D-QSAR models. For all these compounds 2D structures were sketched using ACD-chemsketch and converted to 3D structures using their SMILES notation at "Online SMILES convertor and Structure file generator" [16]. Merck molecular force field and charge were used for Energy minimization and geometry optimization running at maximum number of 1000 cycles and RMS gradient at 0.01 using "small molecule" module at Discovery Studio [6].

$$\begin{split} & \left(\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \right) \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \right) \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \right) \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \right) \left(\end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \right) \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \right) \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \right) \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \right) \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \right) \left(\end{array} \end{array} \\ \left(\end{array} \bigg) \left(\end{array} \end{array}$$
 \left(\end{array} \bigg \bigg) \left(\end{array} \bigg \left(\end{array} \bigg \bigg) \left(\end{array} \bigg \left(\end{array} \bigg \bigg) \left(\end{array} \bigg \bigg) \left(\end{array} \bigg \left(\end{array} \bigg \bigg) \left(\end{array} \bigg \left(\end{array} \bigg \bigg) \left(\end{array} \bigg \bigg) \left(\end{array} \bigg \left(\end{array} \bigg

Fig. 1 Dataset of 47 brominated furanones and N-Aryl homoserine lactone derivatives

Molecular descriptors

As the 2D-QSAR studies require the molecular descriptors, the optimized geometries of the molecules were used to calculate the 4 different types of descriptors namely *topological*, *electronic*, *geometrical* and *constitutional descriptors*. These descriptors encode different aspects of molecular structure and consist of electronic, element counts, molecular weight, molecular refractivity, logP and topological descriptors (Table 1). The invariable (constant) columns of independent variables (i.e., descriptors) were removed and later used for QSAR analysis. The 2D-QSAR and molecular descriptors calculations were done using "*QSAR module*" at Discovery Studio [6] and Molecular descriptor calculation server [14].

Selection of training and test set

The dataset of 47 molecules was divided into training set (35 compounds, Table 2) and test set (12 compounds, Table 3) by Sphere Exclusion (SE) method [16]. The unicolumn statistics

(Table 4) reveals the perfect selection test and training sets. A total of 178 descriptors were calculated using Molecular descriptor calculation server [14]. The calculated molecular descriptors with same value and highly correlating with other descriptors were excluded. The remaining 9 significant descriptors were calculated for all the 47 molecules. The top five significant descriptors were considered for generating the 2D-QSAR using regression analysis.

Sl. No.	Type of descriptor	Descriptor used	Symbol	Description
1.	Topological	Eccentric connectivity index	ECCEN	A descriptor combining distance and adjacency information.
2.	Topological	Zagreb index	Zagreb	The sum of the squared atom degrees of all heavy atoms
3.	Topological	WHIM	Weta3.unity	Holistic descriptors described by Todeschini et al.
4.	Topological	Autocorrelation charge	ATSc1	The Moreau-Broto autocorrelation descriptors using partial charges.
5.	Topological	Wiener numbers	WPOL	Calculates Wiener path number and Wiener polarity number.
6.	Electronic	Charged partial surface area	RNCS	Descriptors combining surface area and partial charge information.
7.	Constitutional	HBond donor count	nHBon	Calculates the number of hydrogen bond donors.
8.	Geometrical	Gravitational index	GRAV-1	Descriptor characterizing the mass distribution of the molecule.
9.	Geometrical	Moment of inertia	MOMI-R	Calculates the principal moments of inertia and ratios of the principal moments. Als calculates the radius of gyration.

Table 1 Tu	mes of desc	rintore use	nd in t	he stu	$d\mathbf{v}$
1 abic 1. 1 y	pes of uese	inplois use	ωmι	ine stu	чy

Regression analysis

The regression analysis of dataset comprising of 35 training set molecules was carried out by MLR, PCR, and PLS as model building methods. The pIC50 values of the 35 molecules were used as dependent variable and various descriptors as independent variables to generate the QSAR models with the parameters of cross-correlation limit of 0.5 [32]. The models were evaluated by means of statistical measures such as number of data points *n*, multiple correlation co-efficient *r*, standard error of estimate *s*, Fisher ratio between the variances of observed and predicted activities *F*, cross-validated r^2 obtained by the Leave-One-Out (LOO) method q^2 .

Multiple linear regression (MLR) analysis

The linear relationship between a dependent variable Y (pIC50) and independent variable X (2D descriptors) is established by Multiple Linear regression. The method least square curve fitting is used in MLR to estimate the regression coefficients (r^2) values as the MLR is based on least squares. A relationship in the form of linear straight line that estimates all the individual data points is created by the model.

. <u> </u>	<u> </u>		1
Sl. No.	Compound number	IC50 value	pIC50 value
1.	Comp 1	17	4.76
2.	Comp 3	11	4.95
3.	Comp 4	45	4.34
4.	Comp 6	50	4.3
5.	Comp 7	17.9	4.74
6.	Comp 8	199.9	3.699
7.	Comp 10	23.12	4.63
8.	Comp 11	10.74	4.96
9.	Comp 13	1000	3
10.	Comp 14	19.42	4.71
11.	Comp 16	65.89	4.18
12.	Comp 17	1.25	5.9
13.	Comp 18	4.63	5.33
14.	Comp 20	0.61	6.21
15.	Comp 21	0.81	6.09
16.	Comp 22	0.92	6.036
17.	Comp 24	4.7	5.32
18.	Comp 25	2.4	5.61
19.	Comp 27	1.8	5.74
20.	Comp 28	1.1	5.98
21.	Comp 29	4.3	5.36
22.	Comp 30	2.7	5.56
23.	Comp 31	0.62	6.2
24.	Comp 33	0.44	6.35
25.	Comp 34	1.6	5.79
26.	Comp 35	0.29	6.53
27.	Comp 36	4.3	5.36
28.	Comp 38	6.8	5.16
29.	Comp 39	12	4.92
30.	Comp 40	3.3	5.48
31.	Comp 42	2.1	5.67
32.	Comp 43	1.8	5.74
33.	Comp 44	1.1	5.95
34.	Comp 46	1.6	5.79
35.	Comp 47	3.4	5.46

Table 2. Training set molecules with IC50 and pIC50 values

Table 3. Test set molecules	s with IC50	and pIC50	values
-----------------------------	-------------	-----------	--------

Sl. No.	Compound number	IC50 value	pIC50 value
1.	Comp 2	13	4.88
2.	Comp 5	90	4.04
3.	Comp 9	57.46	4.24
4.	Comp 12	160.1	3.79
5.	Comp 15	1000	3
6.	Comp 19	2.25	5.64
7.	Comp 23	8.4	5.07
8.	Comp 26	4.2	5.37
9.	Comp 32	0.51	6.29
10.	Comp 37	8.9	5.05
11.	Comp 41	3.3	5.48
12.	Comp 45	0.21	6.67

			Ū.		-	
Data set	Column name	Average	Max	Min	SD	Sum
2D-QSAR						
Training set	pIC50	5.30	6.53	3.00	0.7808	185.80
Test set	pIC50	4.96	6.67	4.88	1.0529	59.52
3D-QSAR						
Training set	pIC50	5.30	6.53	3.00	0.7808	185.80
Test set	pIC50	4.96	6.67	4.88	1.0529	59.52

Table 4. Uni-column statistics of the training and test sets for QSAR models

MLR analysis includes more than one independent variable based on the regression analysis where the conditional mean of dependant variable (pIC50) *Y* depends on independent variable (descriptors) *X*. Regression equation has the form

$$Y = b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + c \,,$$

where *Y* is dependent variable; b_i are regression coefficients; x_i are independent variables and; *c* is regression constant [2, 5].

Principal component regression (PCR) method

Principal component regression is a data compression method for finding the structures in datasets and aims to group correlated variables and replace the original descriptors by new set termed as principal components (PCs). The PC value at each point is obtained by rotating the data into a new set of axes such that most of the variations within the data reflect the first few axes. The data in the decreasing order of variance is selected by PCA as a new set of axes to estimate the dependent variable value based on the selected Principle Components of independent variables [7].

Partial least squares regression (PLSR) method

The relationship of one or more dependent variable (Y) with several independent (X) variables can be established by PLS. This popular regression method is used when the number of independent variables exceeds the number of observations. PLSR aims to describe the common structure by predicting the activity (Y) from X [15].

Validation of QSAR model

The generated models were evaluated by using following statistical measures: correlation coefficient r, which accounts for variance in activity. The internal consistency of equation predictive powers is cross-validated by LOO method expressed as the cross-validated squared correlation coefficient (q^2). The q^2 is defined as

$$q^{2} = 1 - \sum (Y_{\text{pred}} - Y_{\text{act}})^{2} / (Y_{\text{act}} - Y_{\text{mean}})^{2},$$

where Y_{pred} , Y_{act} , Y_{mean} are predicted, actual and mean values of the target property (pIC50) respectively; $\sum (Y_{\text{pred}} - Y_{\text{act}})^2$ is the predictive residual error sum of squares (PRESS), an important cross-validation parameter as is a good approximation of the real predictive error of the model [21].

3D-QSAR studies and dataset

The same dataset of 47 molecules used in 2D-SQAR studies were again used for 3D-QSAR analysis. For the 3D-QSAR analysis we used CoMFA model [1]. This method enables to predict biological activity of specific molecules by deriving a relationship between electrostatic/steric properties and biochemical activities, which can be plotted on contour maps. Comparative molecular field analysis calculates steric fields using Lennard-Jones potential and electrostatic fields using a Coulombic potential. For this CoMFA model, the IC50 values were converted to the corresponding logpIC50 and used as dependent variables. The 3D-QSAR analyses were carried out using "3D-QSAR module" of Discovery Studio [6].

Alignment procedure

Molecular alignment is an important method in 3D-QSAR, related to the conformational flexibility of molecules. Using Systemic conformational search method (grid search) all possible conformations were generated with varying torsion angles and the lowest energy conformers were selected. The template-based alignment method was used to align all the 35 compounds by defining template structure as a basis [18] in the create QSAR option from small molecule module of Discovery studio [6]. To generate the predictive QSAR model, the most active compound's lactone ring was used a template to align all the compounds.

Descriptors calculation

The Tripos force field and Gasteiger and Marsili charge types are used to calculate the electrostatic, steric and hydrophobic field descriptors [10]. The distance-dependent dielectric function probe as carbon atom with charge 1.0 and dielectric constant of 1.0 are considered to calculate the field descriptors (electrostatic and steric).

Comparative molecular field analysis (CoMFA)

The regular space grid of 2.0 Å in all the three dimensions is used to calculate the CoMFA steric and electrostatic potential fields at each lattice intersection within the defined region [1]. The sp3 carbon atom with a radius of 1.52 Å and +1.0 charge was used to calculate the steric and electrostatic fields representing the van der Waals potential (Lennard-Jones 6-12) and columbic terms. The contributions of steric and electrostatic interactions are terminated at ± 30 kcal/mol and the electrostatic contributions are ignored with the maximum steric interactions of lattice intersections.

Partial least squares (PLS) analysis

The CoMFA interaction energies pertaining to structural parameters and biological activities relationship is quantified by PLS analysis. The PLS regression takes advantages of greater number of descriptors (independent variables) comparable to number of compounds (data points) [13]. LOO method is used for cross-validation analysis in which the activity is predicted by leaving one compound from the dataset. The optimum number of components and the cross-validated q^2 value were obtained by using a minimum column filtering value (σ) of 2.00 kcal/mol to speed up the analysis with reduced noise [34]. The non-cross-validated r^2 value was obtained by employing the optimum number of previously identified components used to analyse the CoMFA result.

Results and discussion

Generation of 2D-QSAR models

The detailed description of the descriptors used to generate the 2D-QSAR models were given in Table 1. Several QSAR models were derived for the 2D-QSAR studies on a series of Brominated Furanones and N-Aryl homoserine lactone and the statistically significant QSAR models is discussed.

Multiple linear regression analysis

 $pIC50 = + 0.0025 (\pm 0.0094) ECCEN - 0.0180 (\pm 0.0436) Zagreb + 4.9151 (\pm 9.8709)$ $weta3.unity - 0.0610 (\pm 0.0772) RNCS + 0.5308 (\pm 1.5955) nHBDon + 3.5910 (\pm 5.5780)$ (n = 35; r = 0.937; r² = 0.8012; s = 0.462; F = 21.611; p < 0.0001; q² = 0.657;SPress = 0.563; SDEP = 0.520)

Principle component regression method

 $pIC50 = + 0.2863 (\pm 0.0758) MOMI + 0.3045 (\pm 0.2636) ECCEN - 0.2580 (\pm 0.5120) Zagreb + 0.5124 (\pm 0.6640) GRAV-1 - 0.5533 (\pm 0.7446) Wpol + 5.3087 (\pm 0.1573) (n = 35; r = 0.943; r^2 = 0.8104; s = 0.455; F = 21.226; p < 0.0001; q^2 = 0.625; SPress = 0.582; SDEP = 0.538)$

Partial least square method

pIC50 = + 0.2965 (± 0.0776) weta3.unity + 0.2869 (± 0.2959) ECCEN - 0.0367 (± 0.3149) ATSc-1 + 0.4306 (± 0.5850) GARV-1 - 0.6770 (± 1.3104) Zagreb + 5.3087 (± 0.1595) (n = 35; r = 0.938; $r^2 = 0.8023$; s = 0.461; F = 20.683; p < 0.0001; $q^2 = 0.648$; $S_{\text{Press}} = 0.568$; SDEP = 0.525)

The above QSAR equations explain the variance in biological activity by a correlation coefficient r^2 and the models predictability is evaluated by q^2 using LOO method. In the model, ratio of variance due to error in regression is reflected by high *F* value indicating statistically significant.

Interpretation of 2D-QSAR models

Generated equations of MLR, PCR and PLS indicate the negative contribution of topological descriptor – Zagreb. The electronic descriptor, Charged Partial surface Area denoted by RNCS indicates negative contribution in MLR. In PCR, The topological descriptor, Weiner polarity number denoted by Wpol is showing negative contribution. The topological descriptor auto correlation charge denoted by ATSc-1 indicates the negative contribution in PLS. Topological descriptors, Eccentric Connectivity Index denoted by ECCEN used for combining distance and adjacency information and weta3.unity (holistic descriptor) WHIM and Constitutional Descriptor, nHDon are indicating the positive contribution in MLR equation.

In PCR equation, topological descriptors, ECCEN and the geometrical descriptors GRAV-1 used for mass distribution of the molecule and MOMI (Moment of inertia) explaining the radius of gyration indicate the positive contribution. In case of PLS equation, the topological descriptors, ECCEN and weta3.unity and geometrical descriptor, GRAV-1 indicate positive contribution. The descriptors contribution is shown in Fig. 2. The regression analysis equation is statistically significant with better correlation coefficient (r) which accounts for more than 90% of variance in activity. The LOO cross-validation method, PRESS, cross-validated q^2 and standard deviation were considered for the validation of the predictive powers of the equations for the models. The statistically significant parameter values of MLR, PCR and PLS are summarized in Table 5. The actual and predicted values of the best models of MLR, PCR and PLS of the training set are given in Table 6 with the residual values and their respective plots in Fig. 3.





a) Multiple linear regression







Fig. 3 Observed vs predicted activities plot of best models of training set

SI No	Statistical nonomators -	Results				
51. 140.	Statistical parameters	MLR	PCR	PLS		
1.	n	35	35	35		
2.	r	0.937	0.943	0.938		
3.	r^2	0.8012	0.8104	0.8023		
4.	S	0.462	0.455	0.461		
5.	F	21.611	21.226	20.683		
6.	р	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		
7.	q^2	0.657	0.625	0.648		
8.	$S_{ m press}$	0.563	0.582	0.568		
9.	SDEP	0.520	0.538	0.525		

The MLR, PCR and PLS models were validated by the test (12 compounds) that are excluded from the training set during the model development. Their residual values were given in Table 7 and respective plots in Fig. 4. The plots of observed versus predicted activity of MLR, PCR and PLS training set reveals the model accuracy with training set and the plots of test set show the activity prediction of the external test set. The result from cross-validated analysis was expressed in the terms of cross-validated squared correlation coefficient (q^2). The approximation of real predictive error of the model is given in the terms of PRESS, an important cross-validation parameter. In general the coefficient of determination r^2 (> 0.7); cross-validated r^2 , q^2 (> 0.5) *F*-test (higher is better) represents the models as a statistically significant. The generated MLR, PCR and PLS equations shows the r^2 of 0.8012, 0.8104 and 0.8023 respectively. The cross-validated squared coefficient q^2 of 0.657 (MLR), 0.625 (PCR) and 0.648 (PLS) suggests good correlation between the topological, constitutional and geometrical descriptors and inhibitory activity.

3D-QSAR model generation and interpretation

CoMFA method is used for 3D QSAR modelling to generate relationships between molecular fields and inhibitory activity of AHL analogs. In general, despite of drug-receptor interactions, the CoMFA analysis can give a statistically significant model. The training set comprising of 35 compounds was used to generate the CoMFA model and validated by using test set comprised of 12 compounds. The increase or decrease in the activities based on the variation in the structural features of the different compounds were specified by the steric (*S*) and electrostatic (*E*) descriptors accompanied by its number indicating its position in 3D MFA grid. The criteria for the model selection are based on the q^2 values and its internal predictive ability. In CoMFA QSAR models, distorted grid spacing is observed as a change in the q^2 values.

The model with the grid spacing of 2.0 Å was selected as the best model by cross-validating value (q^2) after LOO cross-validation. The statistical parameters of CoMFA analysis is compiled in Table 8. A cross-validated value (q^2) of 0.772 of the best model was obtained through LOO analysis, which suggests that the model is a helpful tool for predicting inhibitory activity of Salmonella SdiA binding inhibitors.

The 0.834 relative contributions of steric and 0.612 of electrostatic fields indicates that steric field is more predominant. Further, the new q^2 and r^2 values of 0.772 and 0.869 respectively was studied in a condition without electrostatic field. Basically the electrostatic contribution was taken to be negligible. The contour plot generated as scalar products of coefficients and standard deviation associated with each CoMFA column are shown in Fig. 5.

Table 6. Observed, predicted activities, and residual values of statistically significant models
obtained by MLR, PCR and PLS (2D-QSAR) of training set compounds

			Predicted		Predicted	-	Predicted	
Sl.	Compound	Observed	nIC50 by	Residual	nIC50 by	Residual	nIC50 by	Residual
No.	compound	pIC50	MLR	itesiuuui	PCR	Residual	PLS	Residuu
1.	Comp 1	4.76	4.39	0.37	4.37	0.39	3.96	0.8
2.	Comp 3	4.95	4.45	0.5	4.56	0.39	4.44	0.51
3.	Comp 4	4.34	4.52	-0.18	4.58	-0.24	4.79	-0.45
4.	Comp 6	4.3	4.29	0.01	4.70	-0.4	4.56	-0.26
5.	Comp 7	4.74	4.45	0.29	4.56	0.18	4.44	0.3
6.	Comp 8	3.699	4.45	-0.751	4.44	-0.741	4.43	-0.731
7.	Comp 10	4.63	4.52	0.11	4.58	0.05	4.79	-0.16
8.	Comp 11	4.96	4.14	0.82	4.64	0.32	4.33	0.63
9.	Comp 13	3	4.11	-1.11	4.00	-1	3.92	-0.92
10.	Comp 14	4.71	4.47	0.24	4.16	0.55	4.42	0.29
11.	Comp 16	4.18	4.47	-0.29	4.16	0.02	4.42	-0.24
12.	Comp 17	5.9	5.75	0.15	5.95	-0.05	5.99	-0.09
13.	Comp 18	5.33	5.67	-0.34	5.67	-0.34	5.83	-0.5
14.	Comp 20	6.21	5.77	0.44	6.05	0.16	5.80	0.41
15.	Comp 21	6.09	5.62	0.47	5.95	0.14	5.57	0.52
16.	Comp 22	6.036	5.58	0.456	5.34	0.696	5.58	0.456
17.	Comp 24	5.32	5.49	-0.17	6.03	-0.71	5.64	-0.32
18.	Comp 25	5.61	5.71	-0.1	5.78	-0.17	5.75	-0.14
19.	Comp 27	5.74	5.96	-0.22	5.77	-0.03	5.85	-0.11
20.	Comp 28	5.98	6.01	-0.03	5.91	0.07	5.98	0
21.	Comp 29	5.36	5.82	-0.46	5.89	-0.53	5.64	-0.28
22.	Comp 30	5.56	6.06	-0.5	5.96	-0.4	6.00	-0.44
23.	Comp 31	6.2	6.00	0.2	5.74	0.46	5.97	0.23
24.	Comp 33	6.35	5.93	0.42	5.83	0.52	6.19	0.16
25.	Comp 34	5.79	5.91	-0.12	6.24	-0.45	5.93	-0.14
26.	Comp 35	6.53	5.93	0.6	5.92	0.61	5.93	0.6
27.	Comp 36	5.36	5.55	-0.19	5.30	0.06	5.31	0.05
28.	Comp 38	5.16	5.63	-0.47	5.43	-0.27	5.41	-0.25
29.	Comp 39	4.92	5.67	-0.75	5.51	-0.59	5.48	-0.56
30.	Comp 40	5.48	5.61	-0.13	5.30	0.18	5.44	0.04
31.	Comp 42	5.67	5.54	0.13	5.41	0.26	5.65	0.02
32.	Comp 43	5.74	5.60	0.14	5.42	0.32	5.79	-0.05
33.	Comp 44	5.95	5.50	0.45	5.50	0.45	5.50	0.45
34.	Comp 46	5.79	5.55	0.24	5.47	0.32	5.42	0.37
35.	Comp 47	5.46	5.67	-0.21	5.69	-0.23	5.66	-0.2

In Fig. 5a green contours indicate steric bulk groups needed to increase activity, while yellow contours are unfavourable regions that can decrease the activity. In Fig. 5c blue contours indicate electro positive charges correlating with activity and the H-bond donor regions and the red contour indicates the relationship between negative charge and activity and also the H-bond acceptor regions. The green and yellow colored contours represent steric interactions whereas red and blue colored contours represent electrostatic interactions. The green colour indicates the favourable region for bulky substituents and yellow showing unfavourable regions.



Fig. 4 Observed vs predicted activities plot of best models of test set

Table 7. Observed, predicted activities, and residual values of statistically significant models
obtained by MLR, PCR and PLS (2D-QSAR) of training set compounds

<u>cı</u>		Obsomvad	Predicted		Predicted		Predicted	
SI. No	Compound	nIC50	pIC50 by	Residual	pIC50 by	Residual	pIC50 by	Residual
110.		picou	MLR		PCR		PLS	
1.	Comp 2	4.88	4.50	0.38	4.44	0.44	4.14	0.74
2.	Comp 5	4.04	3.84	0.20	3.75	0.29	4.15	-0.11
3.	Comp 9	4.24	4.34	-0.10	4.52	-0.28	4.78	-0.54
4.	Comp 12	3.79	3.48	0.31	3.87	-0.08	3.63	0.16
5.	Comp 15	3.00	3.79	-0.79	3.19	-0.19	3.30	-0.30
6.	Comp 19	5.64	5.80	-0.16	5.43	0.21	5.44	0.20
7.	Comp 23	5.07	5.16	-0.09	5.78	-0.71	5.15	-0.08
8.	Comp 26	5.37	5.56	-0.19	5.57	-0.2	5.33	0.04
9.	Comp 32	6.29	6.43	-0.14	6.29	0	6.35	-0.06
10.	Comp 37	5.05	5.01	0.04	5.13	-0.08	5.13	-0.08
11.	Comp 41	5.48	5.13	0.35	5.37	0.11	5.57	-0.09
12.	Comp 45	6.67	6.49	0.18	6.18	0.49	6.55	0.12

Table 8. PLS statistics of CoMFA 3D-QSAR

Sl. No.	PLS statistics	CoMFA values
1.	q^2 (LOO cross-validated predicted power of model)	0.772
2.	r^2 (correlation coefficient squared of PLS analysis)	0.896
3.	<i>N</i> (optimum number of components obtained from cross-validated PLS analysis)	3
4.	Standard error of estimate (SEE)	0.036
5.	<i>F</i> -test value	1598.65
6.	R^2 prediction	0.368
7.	Steric field contribution from CoMFA	0.834
8.	Electrostatic field contribution from CoMFA	0.612



a) The steric contour map



b) The steric contour map with most active training compound





c) The electrostatic contour map with most active training compound Fig. 5 CoMFA contour maps

The increase in positive charge and H-bond donor regions are favored in blue region while increase in negative charge and H-bond acceptor regions are favored in red region. The steric bulk substituents at green color regions are required to increase the inhibitory activity, while the substitution of steric bulk substituents at yellow color regions are unfavourable for the inhibitory activity. The electropositive charged groups enhancing inhibitory activity are shown in blue colored regions, whereas the electronegative charged groups to improve the activity with the presence of H-bond acceptors are shown in red regions. Therefore, electron withdrawing groups like Br, Cl and F substitutions on lactone ring may significantly increase the inhibition activity of halogen substituted AHLs against SdiA, a potent quorum sensor responsible for *Salmonella typhimurium* pathogenecity.

Conclusion

The 2D-QSAR results revealed that the most important descriptors for predicting the antiquorum sensing activity were the topological and geometrical descriptors. Further, this QSAR study provides a significant approach to understand the structural and electrostatic requirements of the ligand and its derivative for efficient binding within the SdiA receptor. The 3D-QSAR studies revealed that the steric bulk groups present on the preferred location of analogs plays a crucial role to improve the activity and also the possible role of vander waals and electrostatic interactions. The CoMFA contour maps impart some important structural features-like electronegative substituent (Br and Cl) on lactone ring favors the strong inhibitory activity. These results are helpful to design more potent and selective SdiA, quorum sensor inhibitors and also provide hints for the design of new quorum sensing inhibitors with structural diversity.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank S. Anusuya at Data Mining and Text Mining Research Group, Department of Bioinformatics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India for this invaluable help and support.

References

- 1. Cramer R. D. III, D. E. Patterson, J. D. Bunce (2008). Comparative Molecular Field Analysis (CoMFA): Effect of Shape on Binding Steroids to Carrier Proteins, J Am Chem Soc, 110, 5959-5967.
- 2. Croux C., K. Joossens (2005). Influence of Observations on the Misclassification Probability in Quadratic Discriminant Analysis, J Multivar Anal, 96, 348-403.
- 3. Curtis T., D. J. Wheeler (2006). Typhoid Fever, EMedicine Opthalmology, http://emedicine.medscope.com/article/1204093-overview.
- Defoirdt T., C. M. Miyamoto, T. K. Wood, E. A. Meighen, P. Sorgeloos, W. Verstraete, P. Bossier (2007). The Natural Furanone (5Z)-4-bromo-5-(bromomethylene)-3-butyl-2(5H)-furanone Disrupts Quorum Sensing-regulated Gene Expression *in vibrio* Harveyi by Decreasing the DNA-binding Activity of the Transcriptional Regulator Protein luxR, Environmental Microbiology, 9, 2486-2495.
- 5. Devillers J. (1996). Neuronal Network in QSAR and Drug Design, Academic Press, London.
- 6. Discovery Studio Modeling Environment, Release 2.5 (2011). Accelrys Software Inc., San Diego.
- 7. Doucet J. P., F. Barbault, H. Xia, A. Panaye, B. Fan (2007). Nonlinear SVM Approaches to QSPR/QSAR Studies and Drug Design, Currently Computationally Aided Drug Designing 3, 263-289.
- Eberhard A., A. L. Burlingame, C. Eberhard, G. L. Kenyon, H. K. Nealson, N. J. Oppenheimer (1981). Structural Identification of Autoinducer of *Photobacterium fischeri* Luciferase, Biochemistry, 20, 2444-2449.
- 9. Fuqua C., M. R. Parsek, E. P. Greenberg (2001). Regulation of Gene Expression by Cell-to-cell Communication: Acyl-homoserine Lactone Quorum Sensing, Annual Review Genetics, 5, 439-468.
- Gasteiger J., M. Marsili (1980). Iterative Partial Equalization of Orbital Electronegativity

 A Rapid Access to Atomic Charges, Tetrahedron, 36, 3219-3228.
- Geske G. D., M. E. Mattmann, H. E. Blackwell (2008). Evaluation of a Focused Library of N-Aryl L-homoserine Lactones Reveals a New Set of Potent Quorum Sensing Modulators, Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 18, 5978-5981.
- Gnanendra S., S. Anusuya, J. Natarajan (2012). Molecular Modeling and Active Site Analysis of SdiA Homolog, a Putative Quorum Sensor for *Salmonella typhimurium* Pathogenecity Reveals Specific Binding Patterns of AHL Transcriptional Regulators, J Mol Model, 18, 4709-4719.
- 13. Hokuldsson A. (1988). PLS Regression Methods, J Chemometrics, 2, 211-228.
- 14. <u>http://crdd.osdd.net/descriptors.php</u> (Web server for Molecular Descriptor Calculator, Access date 01 December 2016).
- 15. Huberty C. J. (1994). Applied Discriminant Analysis, Willey, New York.
- 16. Hudson B. D., R. M. Hyde, E Rahr, J. Wood (1996). Parameter Based Methods for Compounds Selection from Chemical Databases, Quant Struct Act Relat, 15, 285-289.
- 17. Ivanoff B. (1994). Typhoid Fever: Global Situation and WHO Recommendations, Proceedings of the 2nd Asia Pacific Symposium on Typhoid Fever and other Salmonellosis, Bangkok: Infectious Disease Association of Thailand, 39.

- Jain S. V., M. Ghate, K. S. Bhadoriya, S. B. Bari, A. Chaudhari, J. S. Borse (2012).
 2D, 3D-QSAR and Docking Studies of 1,2,3-thiadiazole Thioacetanilides Analogues as Potent HIV-1 Non-nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors, Organic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 2:22, doi: 10.1186/2191-2858-2-22.
- Janssens J. C. A., H. Steenackers, S. Robijns, E. Gellens, J. Levin, H. Zhao, K. Hermans, D. De Coster, T. L. Verhoeven, K. Marchal, J. Vanderleyden, D. E. De Vos, S. C. J. De Keersmaecker (2008). Brominated Furanones Inhibit Biofilm Formation by *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 74, 6639-6648.
- 20. Khan A., H. Ahmed, N. Jahan, S. R. Ali, A. Amin, M. N. Morshed (2016). An *in silico* Approach for Structural and Functional Annotation of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium Hypothetical Protein R_27, Int J Bioautomation, 20(1), 5-18.
- 21. Kubyani H. (1994). Variable Selection in QSAR Studies: An Evolutionary Algorithm, Quant Struct Act Relat, 13, 285-294.
- 22. Marketon M. M., M. R. Gronquist, A. Eberhard, J. E. Gonzalez (2002). Characterization of the *Sinorhizobium meliloti* sinR/sinI Locus and the Production of Novel N-Acyl Homoserine Lactones, J Bacteriol, 184, 5686-5695.
- 23. Michael B., J. N. Smith, S. Swift, F. Heffron, B. M. Ahmer (2001). SdiA of *Salmonella enterica* is a LuxR Homolog that Detects Mixed Microbial Communities, J Bacteriol, 183, 5733-5742.
- 24. Pang T. Z., A. Bhutta, B. B. Finlay, M. Altwegg (1995). Typhoid Fever and Other Salmonellosis: A Continuing Challenge, Trends Microbial, 3, 253-255.
- 25. Parsek M. R., E. P. Greenberg (2005). Sociomicrobiology, the Connections between Quorum Sensing and Biofilms, Trends Microbiol, 13, 27-33.
- Scherer C. A., S. I. Miller (2001). Molecular Pathogenesis of Salmonellae, In: Groisman E. A. (Ed.), Principles of Bacterial Pathogenesis, Academic Publishers, New York, 266-333.
- 27. Singh S., R. K. Agarwal, C. S. Tiwari, H. Singh (2011). Antibiotic Resistance Pattern among the Salmonella Isolated from Human, Animal and Meat in India, Tropical Animal Health and Production, 44, 665-674.
- Smith D., J. H. Wang, J. E. Swatton, P. Davenport, B. Price, H. Mikkelsen, H. Stickland, K. Nishikawa, N. Gardio, D. R. Spring, M. Welch (2006). Variations on a Theme: Diverse N-Acyl Homoserine Lactone-mediated Quorum Sensing Mechanisms in Gram-negative Bacteria, Sci Prog, 89, 167-211.
- 29. Smith J. N., B. M. Ahmer (2003). Detection of Other Microbial Species by Salmonella: Expression of the SdiA Regulon, J Bacteriol, 185, 1357-1366.
- 30. Steenackers H. P., J. Levin, J. C. Janssens, A. De Weerdt, J. Balzarini, J. Vanderleyden, D. E. De Vos, C. S. De Keersmaecker (2010). Structure-activity Relationship of Brominated 3-alkyl-5-methylene-2(5H)-furanones and Alkylmaleic Anhydrides as Inhibitors of Salmonella Biofilm Formation and Quorum Sensing Regulated Bioluminescence *in vibrio* Harveyi, Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry, 18, 5224-5233.
- 31. Tabassum R., M. Haseeb, S. Fazal (2016). Structure Prediction of Outer Membrane Protease Protein of *Salmonella typhimurium* Using Computational Techniques, Int J Bioautomation, 20(1), 31-42.
- 32. Vyas V. K., M. Ghate, H. Katariya (2011). 2D and 3D-QSAR Study on 4-anilinoquinozaline Derivatives as Potent Apoptosis Inducer and Efficacious Anticancer Agent, Organic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 1-13.
- 33. Waters C. M., B. L. Bassler (2005). Quorum Sensing Cell-to-cell Communication in Bacteria, Annual Review of Cell Developmental Biology, 21, 319-346.
- 34. Zhaoqi Y., S. Pinghua (2007). 3D-QSAR Study of Potent Inhibitors of Phosphodiesterase-4 Using a CoMFA Approach, Int J Mol Sci, 8, 714-722.

Assist. Prof. Gnanendra Shumugam, Ph.D.

E-mail: gnani_science@gmail.com



Dr. G. Shanmugam was the Ph.D. research scholar in Department of Bioinformatics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India. He is recently awarded with Ph.D. degree in Bioinformatics from the same institute. He is currently working as an Assistant Professor at Bioinformatics Division, Center for Research and Development, Mahendra Educational Institutions, Tiruchengode, India. His research interest includes structural bioinformatics and high throughput bioinformatics data analysis.

Assist. Prof. Syed Mohamed, Ph.D.

E-mail: <u>asm2032@gmail.com</u>



Dr. A. Syed Mohamed is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Chemistry in Sadakathullah Appa College, Tirunelveli, India since 2001. He completed two post graduate degrees, M.Sc. Chemistry and M.Sc. Environmental Science. He also qualified in CSIR-NET & GATE examination. He completed Ph.D. in the field of theoretical & computational chemistry from University of Madras. He has 15 years of teaching experience and 8 years research experience. His research interest includes computational chemistry, molecular modeling & drug design. At present is serving as Head, Department of Molecular Modeling & Drug Design. He is also serving as Coordinator of Career Oriented Program (COP) in water and soil analysis.

Prof. Jeyakumar Natarajan, Ph.D. E-mail: <u>n.jeyakumar@yahoo.co.in</u>



Dr. J. Natarajan is a Professor in Department of Bioinformatics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India. He obtained his Ph.D. from University of Ulster, UK and post-doctorial training from Northwestern Medical School, Northwestern University, Chicago, USA. He holds research experience from reputed research institutes like Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, and Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai. His area of research interest includes data mining and text mining of biomedical data. He is currently working on various research problems in this domain.